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#### Abstract

Direct photoaddition of 3-hydroxy-1-benzopyran-2-one with 2,3-dimethylbut-2-ene in tert-butyl alcohol gave tetrahydro-2a-hydroxycyclobuta[c][1]benzopyran-3-one (63\%) arising from a ( $2+2$ ) photoaddition while photoaddition of 3-(benzyloxycarbonyloxy)-1-benzopyran-2-one and its 8methoxy derivative with cyclopentene gave 65:35 and 40:60 mixtures of cis-cisoid-cis- (65\%) and cis-transoid-cis photoadducts (75\%). Removal of the protecting groups of these adducts by hydrogenolysis gave the corresponding cis-cisoid-cis cyclobutanols ( 37 and $24 \%$, respectively).

Similar $(2+2)$ photoadditions of the protected 3-hydroxy-1-benzopyran-2-one and its 8 -methoxy derivative with cyclohexene gave a mixture of cis-cisoid-cis and cis-transoid-cis adducts, respectively. Removal of the protecting groups from each adduct gave $85: 15$ and 70:30 mixtures of the cis-cisoid-cis (86\%) and cis-transoid-cis cyclobutanols (70\%).

Photolysis of the hypoiodites generated in situ from these cyclobutanols induced regioselective $\beta$ scissions of the corresponding cyclobutanoxyl radicals to give furo[2,3-c] [1] benzopyran-4-ones (20$34 \%$ ) together with 4-(trans-2-iodocycloalkyl)-1-benzopyran-2-ones (7-25\%). The pathways leading to the formation of the products arising from the $\beta$-scission are discussed.


The synthesis of heterocycles by inserting a heteroatom into alicyclic compounds is of improtance in organic synthesis, and a variety of methods have been reported for this process. As part of our program to explore the potential of the $\beta$-cleavage of alkoxyl radicals for organic synthesis, ${ }^{2}$ we investigated a number of $(2+2)$ photoadditions between enolised 1,3dicarbonyl compounds, e.g., 4-hydroxycoumarin and alkenes, followed by a $\beta$-scission of the cyclobutanoxyl radicals derived from the resulting cyclobutanols. ${ }^{3-5}$ These radical fragmentations were found to take place regioselectively and result in a transformation of the cyclobutane rings into furan rings by incorporation of the alkoxy oxygen. Thus, 4-hydroxycoumarin $1(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O})^{3}$ and 4-hydroxyquinolin-2( $1 H$ )-one 1 $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathbf{X}=\mathrm{NMe})^{4}$ gave the cyclobutanols 3 upon $(2+2)$ photoaddition and these were transformed into several furocoumarins $4(X=O)$, furochromones $5(X=O)$, furo $[2,3-c]$ quinolin- $4(5 H)$-ones $4(X=N M e)$ and furo $[2,3-b]$ -quinolin- $4(9 H)$-ones $5(X=N M e)$ (see Scheme 1$)$.
In a similar fashion, 3-acetoxyquinolin-2(1H)-one 6 reacted

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{Ac} ; \mathrm{R}^{\prime}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}$, alkyl, OBz, OAc, OEt, OMe,
$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NMe}$ or O

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, $h v, \mathrm{MeOH}$; ii, MeI-NaHDMF; iii, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; iv, $\mathrm{HgO}-\mathrm{I}_{2}$-benzene; $\mathrm{v}, h v$
with acyclic or cyclic alkenes 7 to give the regioselective photoadducts 9. In these reactions the alkoxyl radicals generated from the intermediate cyclobutanols 8 induced regioselective $\beta$-scissions of the non-ring fusion bonds to give the furo $[2,3-c]$ quinolin- $4(5 H)$-ones 9 accompanied by the 8 - or 7 -membered keto lactams 10,11 and 12, arising from $\beta$-scission of the ring-fusion bonds of cyclobutanoxyl radicals ${ }^{5}$ (see Scheme 2).

An extension of the reactions of the quinolinone adducts to the coumarin series has both mechanistic and synthetic interest and here we report the results of such an investigation. The investigation has indicated that there are some differences in the selectivity in the $\beta$-scission of alkoxyl radicals generated from the photoadducts $\mathbf{8}$ of the quinolinone series.

## Results

$(2+2)$ Photoadditions of 3-Hydroxy-13 and 3-Benzyloxy-carbonyloxy-1-benzopyran-2-ones 17 and their 8-Methoxy Derivative 18 with the Alkenes 15, 19 and 20 (Scheme 3).-There are no reports of the photoaddition of 3-hydroxycoumarins and their 3-O-protected derivatives with alkenes.

3-Hydroxycoumarin 13, ${ }^{6} \quad$ 3-benzyloxycarbonyloxy-1-benzopyran-2-one 17 and its 8 -methoxy-derivative 18 were used as substrates for the photoaddition. Protection of the 3hydroxy group of 3-hydroxycoumarin 13 and 3-hydroxy-8methoxycoumarin $14^{7}$ by the benzylidenecarbonyl group was carried out by a standard method.
2,3-Dimethylbut-2-ene 15, cyclopentene 19 and cyclohexene 20 were used as alkenes for the photoadditions, which were carried out essentially as reported by us ${ }^{4}$ for preparing the photoadducts of 3 -acetoxyquinolin- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-one 6 with alkenes.
Irradiation of 3-hydroxycoumarin 13 and 58 equiv. of 2,3-dimethylbut-2-ene 15 in tert-butyl alcohol for 19 h with a $500-\mathrm{W}$ high-pressure mercury arc through a Pyrex filter gave a $(2+2)$ photoadduct 16 as virtually the sole product $(63 \%)$; no $(2+2)$ photoadduct, however, was formed in the photoaddition of 3-


Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, $h v, \mathrm{MeOH}$; ii, $\mathrm{NaH}-\mathrm{MeI}-\mathrm{DMF}$; iii, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; iv, $\mathrm{HgO}-\mathrm{I}_{2}-$ benzene; v, $h v$

$14 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}$

$17 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
$18 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}$
$19 n=1$
$20 n=2$
cis-transoid-cis isomers were isolated from the products of the hydrogenolysis. The stereochemistries of the cis-cisoid-cis adducts 27 and 28 were determined by comparing their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra with those of the corresponding [2+2] photoadducts of 3 -hydroxyquinolin- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-one with cyclopentene reported previously by us ${ }^{5}$ (for details of the analysis, see Experimental section).

A similar photoaddition of the protected coumarin 17, or its 8 -methoxy derivative 18, with cyclohexene 20 in tert-butyl alcohol gave mixtures of the stereoisomers of the [2 +2] photoadducts, 23 and 24, respectively. Removal of the protecting group of the adducts 23 and 24 gave an 85:15 mixture of cis-cisoid-cis and cis-transoid-cis adducts $25(80 \%)$ as well as a 70:30 mixture of cis-cisoid-cis and cis-transoid-cis adduct 26 ( $70 \%$ ), respectively (Scheme 3). Stereochemical assignments for the photoadducts 25 and 26 were made on the basis of an analysis of their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra.

Products arising from the Photoreactions of the Hypoiodites of the Fused Cyclobutanols 16 and 25-28 (Scheme 3).-Transformation of the cyclobutanol 16 in benzene with red mercury(il) oxide and iodine (each 3 equiv.) into the corresponding hypoiodite by a standard procedure, followed by irradiation with Pyrex-filtered light for 4 h under a nitrogen atmosphere, gave an amorphous product $29(21 \%)$. The molecular formula of product 29 was determined to be $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ by highresolution mass spectrometry. The IR spectrum indicated that product 29 was a furanocoumarin. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed a singlet at $\delta 1.44(12 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{Me})$, a multiplet at $\delta$ 7.15-7.4 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, 6-, 7-, 8-\mathrm{ArH}$ ) and a doublet at $7.60(1 \mathrm{H}$, the furanocoumarin $9-\mathrm{H}$ ). These spectral results along with a consideration of the formation mechanism indicated that product 29 was 4 H -1,2-dihydro-1,1,2,2-tetramethylfuro[2,3-c]-benzopyran-4-one


Scheme 4 Reagents and conditions: i, $\mathrm{HgO}-\mathrm{I}_{2}$-benzene; ii, $h v$

Photolysis of the hypoiodite of the cyclobutanol 27 in benzene for 1.5 h similarly gave the annelated coumarin 30 ( $34 \%$ ), while photolysis of the hypoiodite prepared from its 8 -

25, 26, 27, 28

$30 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, n=1$
$31 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}, n=1$
$32 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}, n=2$

$33 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}, n=1$
$34 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, n=2$
$35 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}, n=2$

Scheme 5 Reagents and conditions: i, $\mathrm{HgO}-\mathrm{I}_{2}$-benzene; ii, $h \nu$
methoxy derivative 28 gave the annelated coumarin 31 ( $20 \%$ ) along with the product 33 ( $7 \%$ ). High-resolution mass spectrometry indicated that product 33 had the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IO}_{4}$ and its IR spectrum indicated the presence of a coumarin skeleton and a hydroxy group. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 33 showed signals at $\delta 3.18$ (ddd) and 4.88 (ddd) assignable to an allylic proton as well as a proton attached to the cyclopentane carbon having an iodine. The coupling constant ( 10.26 Hz ) indicated a trans disposition of the two protons. These spectral results, together with the mechanism of
formation indicated that product 33 was 4 -(trans-2-iodocyclo-pentyl)-8-methoxy-1-benzopyran-2-one.

A similar photolysis of the hypoiodite generated in situ from a mixture of the stereoisomeric cyclobutanol derivatives 25 and red mercury(II) oxide and iodine in benzene gave a crystalline product $34(25 \%)$ as the only isolable product. High-resolution mass spectrometry indicated its molecular formula to be $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IO}_{3}$, whilst its IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and mass spectral data indicated that the structure of product 34 was 4 -(trans-2-iodocyclohexyl)-1-benzopyran-2-one, which was homologous to product 33. No furocoumarin was obtained in this reaction.

Finally, photolysis of the hypoiodite generated from a mixture of stereoisomers of the cyclobutanol derivatives 26 under the conditions mentioned above gave a major crystalline product $32(20 \%)$ along with a minor product $35(4 \%)$.

The molecular formula of the major product 32 was $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and analyses of the IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and mass spectra indicated it to be a tetracyclic furocoumarin homologous to furocoumarin 31. The molecular formula of the minor product 35 was $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{IO}_{4}$ (high-resolution mass spectrometry). Analyses of the IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and mass spectra indicated that the structure was 4 -(trans-2-iodocyclohexyl)-8-methoxy-1-benzopyran-2-one, which was homologous to 3-hydroxy-4-alkylcoumarin 33.


## Discussion

The foregoing results have indicated that the [ $2+2$ ] photoadducts are formed in $63-70 \%$ yields due to the photoaddition of 3-hydroxy- or 3-benzyloxycarbonyloxy)-1-benzopyran-2one and its 8 -methoxy derivative with cyclic and acyclic alkenes, analogous to 3 -acetoxyquinolin- $2(1 H)$-one. ${ }^{5}$

The above experiments indicated that the photoaddition of 3(benzyloxycarbonyloxy)-1-benzopyran-2-ones with cyclopentene or cyclohexene gave predominantly sterically disfavoured cis-cisoid-cis adducts over cis-transoid-cis adducts. The preferential formation of these sterically unfavourable adducts is parallel to the behaviour shown in the photoaddition of excited 4-hydroxycoumarin, ${ }^{3}$ 4-hydroxy-2-quinoline, ${ }^{4}$ and 3 -acetoxy-quinolin- $2(1 H)$-one ${ }^{5}$ with olefins reported by us. This behaviour is in contrast to that of the excited cyclic enones in which sterically favoured cis-transoid-cis adducts have always been the predominant photoadducts ${ }^{8}$ and may imply the involvement of a mechanism which differs from that for the photoaddition of excited cyclic enones. As we pointed out in earlier papers, ${ }^{3-5}$ these additions may involve a singlet exciplex, although the mechanistic details have yet to be investigated.

The experiments described above suggest that the exclusive $\beta$-cleavage of all the alkoxyl radicals generated from cyclobutenols $\mathbf{1 6}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 8}$ by the photolysis of their hypoiodites took place at the non-ring fusion bonds to give furo $[2,3-c][1]-$ benzopyran-4-ones as the major products together with 4 -alkyl-1-benzopyran-2-ones. This exclusive cleavage of the cyclobutanoxyl radicals contrasts with the results for radicals generated from cyclobutanols derived from 3-acetoxyquinolin$1(1 \mathrm{H})$-one, a nitrogen analogue of 3-hydroxy-1-benzopyran-2one, reported previously. ${ }^{5}$

The probable paths leading to products $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ in $\beta$-scissions of the alkoxyl radicals generated from the cyclobutanols 25-28 are shown in Scheme 6. Thus, photolysis of the hypoiodites generated in situ by the reaction of iodine dioxide and cyclobutanols generates alkoxyl radicals $\mathbf{A}$. A selective $\beta$ scission at bond $b$ gives a carbon-centred radical B. In contrast to the cyclobutanoxyl radicals derived from the nitrogen analogues 18, no 7 - or 8 -membered ring arising from a $\beta$-scission of the ring fusion bond $b$ through a carbon-centred radical C was obtained. Abstractions of an iodine atom and an enolization gave rise to products $33-35$, while a one-electron oxidation of the carbon-centred radicals $\mathbf{B}$, followed by an intramolecular combination of the resulting cations $\mathbf{D}$ with the carbonyl oxygen, gave rise to intermediates $\mathbf{E}$. Removal of a proton from the carbocations $\mathbf{E}$ afforded the furocoumarins 30 and 31. These paths are entirely analogous to those from the alkoxyl radicals derived from the nitrogen analogues 8 to products (Scheme 2) discussed in our previous paper. ${ }^{5}$

All of the methods for synthesizing 4 H -furo [2,3-c]-[1]benzopyran-4-ones recently reported involve a [3,3] sigmatropic rearrangement of the $3-\mathrm{O}$-propyl- or $3-\mathrm{O}$-allyl-3hydroxycoumarins. ${ }^{9}$ Annelation of the furan ring described here- $[2+2]$ photoaddition of 3-hydroxy-1-benzopyran-2one with olefins followed by a $\beta$-scission of alkoxyl radicals generated from the resulting cyclobutanols - should be of use in synthesizing this class of heterocycles.

## Experimental

General Method.-Regarding the general procedures, see previous paper. ${ }^{5}$

3-(Benzyloxycarbonyloxy)-1-benzopyran-2-one 17.-To a solution of 3-hydroxycoumarin $13^{6}$ ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in pyridine ( $2.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added benzyloxycarbonyl chloride ( 252 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 16 h under nitrogen and then poured into water and extracted with diethyl ether.

The extract was washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated. The products were subjected to PLC on silica gel to give 17 ( $204 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ ): $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.44\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ); m.p. $121-123^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1771$ and $1732 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(90 \mathrm{MHz}) 5.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ and $7.2-7.7(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; m / z 297\left[(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, 0.05\right], 252$ $\left[\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)^{+}, 0.9\right]$ and $91\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}^{+}, 100\right)$ [Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}$, 297.0745. $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires $M$, 297.0763].

3-(Benzyloxycarbonyloxy)-8-methoxy-1-benzopyran-2-one 18.-Treatment of 3-hydroxy-8-methoxycoumarin $14^{7}$ ( 75 mg , 0.391 mmol ) with benzyloxycarbonyl chloride ( $133 \mathrm{mg}, 0.782$ mmol ) in pyridine ( $0.69 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) as described above, gave 18 ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ): $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.22\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ); m.p. $127-128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1757$ and $1735 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(90 \mathrm{MHz}) 3.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{OMe})$, $5.28\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ and 6.9-7.5 ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ); m/z 327 $\left[(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, 0.01\right], 282\left[\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)^{+}, 1.5\right], 254$ (4.4) and 91 (100) (Found: C, $66.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.3 . \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires C, 66.26; H , $4.32 \%$ ).
cis-1,2,2a,8b-Tetrahydro-2a-hydroxy-1,1,2,2-tetramethylcyclobuta [c] [1]benzopyran-3-one 16.-A solution of 3-hydroxycoumarin 13 ( $233 \mathrm{mg}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2,3-dimethylbut-2-ene $15(7.08 \mathrm{~g}, 84.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tert-butyl alcohol $\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was irradiated with a $500-\mathrm{W}$ high-pressure Hg arc through a Pyrexfilter under a nitrogen atmosphere for 19 h . After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was purified by PLC on silica gel to give the cyclobutanol 16 ( $222 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ): $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.60$ (from $1: 3$ AcOEt-toluene); m.p. $175-177^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from Et ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3432$ and $1726 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(90 \mathrm{MHz}) 0.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.01(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H})$ and $6.9-7.3(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}) ; m / z 246\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.38\right), 229\left[(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OH})^{+}, 1.1\right], 162[(\mathrm{M}-$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)^{+}, 14\right]$ and $84(100)$ (Found: C, 73.1; H, 7.45. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C, $73.14 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.37 \%$ ).
( $\pm$ )-( $6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 9 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 9 \mathrm{~b} \alpha)$ - and $( \pm)-(6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \mathrm{~b} \beta, 9 \mathrm{a} \beta, 9 \mathrm{~b} \alpha)$-6a-(Benz-yloxycarbonyloxy)-6a, $6 \mathrm{~b}, 7,8,9 \mathrm{a}, 9 \mathrm{~b}-$ hexahydro- 9 H -cyclopenta-[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-c][1]benzofuran-6-one 21.-A solution of coumarin 17 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.676 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cyclopentene $19(5.81 \mathrm{~g}$, 85.2 mmol ) in tert-butyl alcohol ( $5.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was irradiated for 100 h , as described for the preparation of the photoproduct 16. Purification by PLC on silica gel gave the adduct 21 (a $65: 35$ syn: anti mixture) ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ); $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.46$ ( $1: 3 \mathrm{EtOAc}$-hexane); m.p. $110-111^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (after trituration with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane); $v_{\max } /$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1746 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 1.2-2.0(5.65 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.35-2.5(0.7 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 3.13(0.35 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.37,9 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}$ of anti) $3.2-3.4(1.65 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.85$ $(0.65 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 10.34$, and $1.95,9 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}$ of $s y n), 5.0-5.3(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ and 6.59-7.4 (9 H, m); m/z $364\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 10\right)$ and $91(100)$ (Found: C, 72.7; H, 5.55. $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 72.51 ; \mathrm{H}$, $5.53 \%$ ).
( $\pm)-(6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 9 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 9 \mathrm{~b} \alpha)-$ and $(6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \mathrm{~b} \beta, 9 \mathrm{a} \beta, 9 \mathrm{~b} \alpha)-6 \mathrm{a}-($ Benzyloxy -carbonyloxy)-4-methoxy-6a, $6 \mathrm{~b}, 7,8,9 \mathrm{a}, 9 \mathrm{~b}$-hexahydro- 9 H -cyclopenta $[3,4]$ cyclobuta $[1,2-\mathrm{c}][1]$ benzofuran- 6 -one 22.-Photoaddition of the coumarin 18 ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.706 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cyclopentene $(9.61 \mathrm{~g}, 141 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tert-butyl alcohol $\left(6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ for 48 h gave the adduct 22 (a $40: 60$ syn: anti mixture) ( 208 mg , $75 \%$ ): $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.22$ (1:3, EtOAc-hexane); m.p. $157-159^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (after trituration with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1743 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$, 1.15-1.95 ( $5.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.3-2.5 ( $1.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 3.12 ( $0.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.37$, $9 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}$ of anti), 3.2-3.4 (1.4 H, m, 9a-H and $6 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}$ of syn, $9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ of anti), $3.85(0.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 10.20$ and $1.96,9 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}$ of syn), 3.87 and 3.89 (each $3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), $5.04(0.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 12.21, \mathrm{CHHPh}$ of anti), $5.10(0.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 12.21, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{HPh}$ of syn), $5.11(0.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ 12.21, $\mathrm{CH} H \mathrm{Ph}$ of anti), $5.14(0.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 12.21, \mathrm{CH} H \mathrm{Ph}$ of syn $)$, $6.53(0.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.32,3-\mathrm{H}$ of $s y n), 6.58(0.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.32,1.47$, 3-H of anti), 6.8-6.85 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 7.0-7.1 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $7.25-7.4$
$(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; m / z 394\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2.0\right), 303\left[\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)^{+}, 7.3\right]$ and 91 (100) (Found: C, 70.4; H, 5.7. $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires C, 70.04; H, $5.62 \%$ ).
( $\pm$ )-(6a $\alpha, 6 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 9 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 9 \mathrm{~b} \alpha)-6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}, 7,8,9 \mathrm{a}, 9 \mathrm{~b}-$ Hexahydro-6a-hyd-roxy-9H-cyclopenta [3,4]cyclobuta $[1,2$-c] [1]benzofuran-6-one 27.-A mixture of the adduct $21(132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.363 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $5 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0089 \mathrm{mmol})$ in AcOEt $\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was stirred under an atmosphere of hydrogen for 45 min at room temperature, and then filtered through a Celite pad and washed with AcOEt. The combined filtrate and washings were evaporated to give a residue which was subjected to PLC on silica gel (1:3, EtOAchexane) to give 27 ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ ): $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.22$; m.p. $120-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3400$ and $1727 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ $1.05-1.85(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.81,6 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 3.2-3.6$ and 3.28 ( 2 H , br s and dt, $J 10.26$ and 7.81 , OH and $9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $3.83(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 10.26$ and $1.47,9 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 6.95-7.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J 7.81$, $1.46)$ and $7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J 7.81$ and 1.46$)$; $m / z 230\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2.2\right)$ and $162\left[\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)^{+}, 100\right]$ (Found: C, 73.1; H, 6.2. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 73.03 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.19 \%$ ).
( $\pm$ )-(6a $\alpha, 6 \mathrm{~b} x, 9 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 9 \mathrm{~b} \alpha)-6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}, 7,8,9 \mathrm{a}, 9 \mathrm{~b}-$ Hexahydro-6a-hyd-roxy-4-methoxycyclopenta[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-c][1]benzofuran-6-one 28.-Hydrogenolysis of the adduct $22(520 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOAc ( $12 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) in the presence of $5 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(70 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.033 mmol ) was carried out as described above to give 28 ( 82 $\mathrm{mg}, 24 \%$ ): $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.17$ (1:3 EtOAc-hexane); m.p. $146-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3430$ and $1735 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 0.8-$ $1.35(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.45-1.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.82,6 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 2.94$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 9,9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 3.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 10.25$ and $1.95,9 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 3.55$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), $6.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.81,3-\mathrm{H}), 6.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.30,1-\mathrm{H})$ and $6.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.30$ and $7.8,2-\mathrm{H}) ; m / z 260\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 15\right), 232$ [(M CO) ${ }^{+}$, 39] and $192\left[\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)^{+}, 100\right]$ (Found: C, $69.1 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.3 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 69.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.20 \%$ ).
( $\pm$ )-( $6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 10 \mathrm{~b} \alpha)-$ and $(6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \mathrm{~b} \beta, 10 \mathrm{a} \beta, 10 \mathrm{~b} \alpha)-6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}, 7,-$ 8,9,10,10a,10b-Octahydrobenzo[3,4]cyclobuta[1,2-c][1]benzo-furan-6-one 25.-Photolysis of the coumarin 17 ( 200 mg , $0.676 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the cyclohexene $20(17.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.207 \mathrm{~mol})$ in tertbutyl alcohol ( $1.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) for 74 h under the same conditions as described above gave the crude adduct $23(159 \mathrm{mg})$ which was subjected to hydrogenolysis with hydrogen ( 1 atm ) and Pd/C $(5 \% ; 10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0047 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\operatorname{AcOEt}\left(11 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ for 45 min to afford the cyclobutanol 25 (a $85: 15$ syn: anti mixture) ( 132 mg , $80 \%$ ): $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.22$ ( $1: 3 \mathrm{EtOA}-\mathrm{hexane}$ ); m.p. $90-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (after trituration with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3442,3398$ and $1729 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 1.0-2.0(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.7-2.9(2.15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.26$ $(0.15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 10.26,10 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}$ of anti) , $3.73(0.85 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 9.28,10 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}$ of $s y n$ ), $7.05-7.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $7.2-7.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; m / z 244\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, 1.6), $216\left[(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CO})^{+}, 1.6\right]$ and $162\left[\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)^{+}, 100\right]$ (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 73.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.7 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 73.75 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.60 \%$ ).
( $\pm$ )-( $6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \mathrm{~b} \alpha, 10 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 10 \mathrm{~b} \alpha)-$ and ( $6 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 6 \mathrm{~b} \beta, 10 \mathrm{a} \beta, 10 \mathrm{~b} \alpha)-6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}, 7,-$ $8,9,10,10 \mathrm{a}, 10 \mathrm{~b}-$ Octahydro-4-methoxybenzo $[3,4]$ cyclobuta $[1,2-$ c][1]benzofuran-6-one 26.-Irradiation of a solution of the coumarin $\mathbf{1 8}(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.767 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the cyclohexene 20 ( 12.3 $\mathrm{g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{OH}^{t}\left(1.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ for 48 h gave the crude adduct 24, the hydrogenolysis of which in EtOAc ( $28 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave 26 (a $70: 30$ syn: anti mixture) ( $147 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ): $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.26$ (1:3, EtOAc-hexane); m.p. $152-153^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (after trituration with hexane); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3432$ and 1727; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 1.0-2.0(8.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-2.9(1.7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.25(0.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 9.76,10 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}$ of anti), $3.73(0.7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 10.74$, $10 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}$ of syn), 3.89 and 3.90 (each $3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}$, OMe), 6.67 ( $0.7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J 8.30,3 \mathrm{H}$ of $s y n), 6.71(0.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.81$ and $1.47,3-\mathrm{H}$ of anti $)$, $6.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.30,1-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.0-7.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2-\mathrm{H}) ; m / z 274$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 7.6\right), 246\left[(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CO})^{+}, 36\right]$ and $192\left[\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)^{+}, 100\right]$ (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 274.1188 . \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $M, 274.1205$ ).

1,2-Dihydro-1,1,2,2-tetramethylfuro[2,3-c][1]benzopyran-4one 29.-A solution of the cyclobutanol $16(123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene ( $40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) containing red mercury(II) oxide ( 325 mg , 1.50 mmol ) and iodine ( $381 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a Pyrex vessel was irradiated with a $100-\mathrm{W}$ high-pressure Hg arc for 4 h under nitrogen. The mixture was filtered through a Celite pad; the filtrate was then washed with aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. Evaporation of the solvent gave a residue which was purified by PLC on silica gel to afford the furocoumarin 29 ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%$ ); $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.22$ ( $1: 6, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-toluene); amorphous; $v_{\max }($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1735$ and $1623 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(90 \mathrm{MHz}) 1.44(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, 4-Me), 7.15-7.4 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) and $7.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.49,9-\mathrm{H}) ; m / z 244$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 244.1103. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $M$, 244.1099).
cis-( $\pm$ )-8,9,10,10a-Tetrahydro-7aH-cyclopenta[4,5] furo[2,3-c][1]benzopyran-6-one 30.-A similar photolysis of the cyclobutanol 27 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene ( $18 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) in the presence of red mercury(II) oxide ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and iodine ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 1.5 h gave $35(7.9 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%) ; R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.28(1: 3$, EtOAc-hexane); m.p. $115-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane); $v_{\text {max }} /$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1717$ and 1634; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 1.6-2.05(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.28$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.16$ and 6.35$)$, $4.05(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 8.30,7.81$ and 3.42 , $10 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 5.50(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 7.81,6.35$ and $1.47,7 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.1-7.4$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; m / z 228\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$ (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 228.0774. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $M, 228.0787$ ).
cis- $\pm$ )-8,9,10,10a-Tetrahydro-4-methoxy-7aH-cyclopenta[4,5] furo [2,3-c][1]benzopyran-6-one 31 and 4-(trans-2-Iodo-cyclopentyl)-8-methoxy-1-benzopyran-2-one 33.-Photolysis of the cyclobutanol 28 ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene ( $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) in the presence of red mercury(II) oxide ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and iodine ( $107 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 1.5 h gave the product $31(7.2 \mathrm{mg}$, $20 \%$ ) and product $33(3.8 \mathrm{mg}, 7 \%)$. 31: $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.22$ (1:3) EtOAchexane); m.p. $194-198^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 1726 and 1636; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) 1.5-2.05(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.25-2.3(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 4.02(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 8.30,7.81$ and 3.42 , $10 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $5.49(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 7.81,5.86$ and $1.47,7 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 6.95-7.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2-$ and $4-\mathrm{H})$ and 7.22 ( 1 H, dd, $J 8.30$ and $7.81,3-\mathrm{H}$ ); $m / z 258$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 258.0920 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $M, 258.0892$ ). 33: $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.36$ (1:3, EtOAc-hexane); m.p. ${ }^{171-173}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3342$ and $1694 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}), 1.7-1.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 2.05-2.2 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.5-2.6 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.95-3.1 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 3.18 ( 1 H , ddd, $J$ 10.26, 6.83 and $\left.6.34,1^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 4.88(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 10.26,5.86$ and $\left.4.88,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.98(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 8.30$ and 0.97 , $7-\mathrm{H}), 7.13(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 8.30$ and $0.97,5-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 8.30$, $6-\mathrm{H}) ; m / z 386\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 23\right)$ and $259\left[(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{I})^{+}, 100\right]$ (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 386.0034. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IO}_{4}$ requires $M, 386.0016$ ).

4-(trans-2-Iodocyclohexyl)-1-benzopyran-2-one 34.-Photolysis of the cyclobutanol $25(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene ( 18 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) in the presence of red mercury(II) oxide ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49$ mmol ) and iodine ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 1 h gave the product $34(15 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%): R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.33$ ( $1: 3$, EtOAc-hexane); m.p. $144-146^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3360$ and 1708; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400$ MHz) $1.0-2.3(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-2.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.01\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, 7.3-7.45 (3 H, m) and 7.52 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.30,5-\mathrm{H}) ; m / z 370\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 21\right)$ and $243\left[(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{I})^{+}, 100\right]$ (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 370.0081 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IO}_{3}$ requires $M, 370.0066$ ).
cis-6a,7a,8,9,10,11,11a,11b-Octahydro-4-methoxybenzo[4,5]-furo[2,3-c][1]benzopyran-6-one 32 and 4-(trans-2-Iodocyclo-hexyl)-8-methoxy-1-benzopyran-2-one 35.-Photolysis of the cyclobutanol $26(83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene ( $33 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) in the presence of red mercury(II) oxide ( $197 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and iodine ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 1.5 h gave $32(18 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%)$ and $35(4.8 \mathrm{mg}, 4.0 \%)$. 32: $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.10$ ( $1: 3$, EtOAc-hexane); m.p. 194 $198^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1730$ and $1608 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}), 1.2-1.35$
( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) , 1.55-1.85 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.1-2.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.35-2.45(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 3.32(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 10.25,7.32$ and $6.84,11 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 3.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{OMe}), 4.82(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 7.35,6.84$ and $3.91,7 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 6.95-7.0$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 8.30$ and $7.81,2-\mathrm{H}) ; m / z 272\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 27\right)$ and 192 (100) (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 70.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.0 . \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires C , $70.57 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.92 \%$ ) 35: $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.12$ (1:3 EtOAc-hexane); m.p. $185-$ $187^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \quad v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3346$ and $1696 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad 1.4-1.55$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) , 1.65-1.75 (1 H, m), 1.9-2.05 (4 H, m), 2.2-2.3 (1 H, m), 2.7-2.85 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $3.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.01\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.97(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, J 8.30$ and $0.98,7-\mathrm{H}), 7.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.81,5-\mathrm{H})$ and $7.24(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 8.30$ and $7.81,6-\mathrm{H}) ; m / z 400\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 13\right)$ and $273\left[(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{I})^{+}\right.$, $100]$ (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 400.0194$. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{IO}_{4}$ requires $M, 400.0171$ ).
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